

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, DECEMBER 5, 1997
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- 1st Dist. Metropolitan Insurance & Annuity Company et al., Petitioners
A078822 v.
Div. 5 San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent
S065789 Selmor Green et al., Real Parties in Interest
Petition for review and application for stay DENIED.
- S004727 People, Respondent
Crim. v.
25701 Mauricio Rodriguez Silva, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including January 5, 1998.
- S009108 People, Respondent
v.
Ronaldo Medrano Ayala, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including January 26, 1998.
- S014664 People, Respondent
v.
Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including January 5, 1998.
- S034110 People, Respondent
v.
Mark Christopher Crew, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including February 3, 1998, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S052824 Santa Monica Beach Limited, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

Santa Monica Rent Control Board, Real Party in Interest

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file real party in interest's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including January 26, 1998.

S057084 People, Respondent

v.

Justin Paul, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including December 19, 1997.

S060675 In re Robert Henry Nicolaus

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including December 12, 1997.

S065575 In re Steve Allen Champion

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including February 6, 1998.

S034534 In re **Jose Luis Ramos** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation in S034534 (91-O-02833 et al. [Cons.]) is revoked and reinstated on the terms and conditions recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed September 18, 1997. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S044570 In re **James Alan Twitty** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in S044570 is lifted, and **James Alan Twitty** shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for 16 months. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment, which commenced on May 13, 1996. **James Alan Twitty is also** ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and shall be added to and become part of the membership fee for the next calendar year. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7.)

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064637 In re **Ronald Urcel Carter** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Ronald Urcel Carter** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 30 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed August 12, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar. For good cause shown, the costs shall be divided into two equal payments, and added to the membership fee due for the 1998 and 1999 calendar year.

S064639 In re **Richard J. Mathers** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Richard J. Mathers** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed July 24,

1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S064641 In re **Mervyn H. Wolf** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mervyn H. Wolf** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 45 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed August 8, 1997. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S064642 In re **Mark William Lally** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark William Lally** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 90 days and until he makes restitution to Sats Yasumoto, or the Client Security Fund if it has paid, in the amount of \$556, plus 10% interest from September 6, 1994, and to Edward Meyer, or the Client Security Fund, if it has paid, in the amount of \$750, plus interest from August 19, 1995, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit. Should the period of actual suspension exceed two years, he shall remain suspended until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Further, he is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is

effective.* He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed July 23, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension or within one year after the effective date of this order, whichever is greater. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064770 In re **Steven Harry Franceschi** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Steven Harry Franceschi** be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation, including nine months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding stipulation filed July 8 and 24, 1997. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on August 14, 1996 (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).